

) FV

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**



Serial No.: 09/966,095  
Filed: October 1, 2001  
Group Art Unit: 2111  
Examiner: Dang, Khanh  
Atty Docket No.: Balay 2-1  
Atty Ref. No.: 20-143

In re Patent Application of:

**BALAY**

Title: **PCI/LVDS HALF BRIDGE**

December 3, 2007

**RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S REFUSAL TO ENTER**  
**MATERIAL INFORMATION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Applicants are in receipt of the Examiner's November 26, 2007 refusal to enter material information in this case under appeal.

As the Applicants have tried repeatedly to have the Examiner understand, the refused reference provides material, detailed information relevant to a cited reference that the Examiner is relying upon to reject the claims. The Examiner's relied-upon reference does not provide the detail about the allegedly prior art device that the refused reference does. Such details are material and helpful, albeit even though it bears a publication date later than the filing date of the present application.

The rejections of claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-12, 14-17, 19-21 and 23-26 are improper as is evident from a full understanding of the DETAILS of the cited reference used by the Examiner in rejecting the claims.

Refusal to enter-much less consider-the DETAILS provided by the refused information continues to not only frustrate the Applicants, but denies the Board of ALL material information that the Applicant (and the Examiner) are aware of. The Applicants respectfully request that the refused information be entered and considered, and that rejection of the claims be reversed.

Respectfully submitted,



---

William H. Bollman  
Reg. No. 36, 457

**Manelli Denison & Selter PLLC**  
2000 M Street, NW  
Suite 700  
Washington, DC 20036-3307  
TEL. (202) 261-1020  
FAX. (202) 887-0336  
WHB/df